

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/663,771	Applicant(s) KAMINSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner WANDA Z. RUSSELL	Art Unit 2416	

All Participants:

(1) WANDA Z. RUSSELL.

(2) PETER PARK.

Date of Interview: 2 April 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103

Claims discussed:
1, 6, 10, 14, 17, and 18

Prior art documents discussed:
2003/0076803

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner believed prior art would read on the independent claims for the load balancing and that applicant agreed to amend the claims in order to expedite prosecution to allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pre-appeal brief request for review

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)